

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,987	11/12/2003	Terrence W. Schmidt	1934-8-3	7342	
7	590 03/02/2005		EXAM	INER	
Bryan A. Santarelli			OLSON, LARS A		
GRAYBEAL J Suite 350	ACKSON HALEY LLP		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
155 - 108th Avenue NE			3617		
Bellevue, WA	98004-5901		DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

=-{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/712,987	SCHMIDT ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
,	Lars A Olson	3617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 10 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	ndonment of this app evidence, which place with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a				
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	the final rejection. isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	e final rejection, whicheve f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	er is later. In no				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	:hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
		LARS A. OLSO PRIMARY EXAM	w Ner				
		Two Che	ieη				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The feature of a mission module that is operable to influence non-module resources of a vessel, which has not been previously claimed by the applicant, is considered by the examiner to be a new issue that will require further search of the prior art.